What Is Claimed Is:

1	1.	A method for using a computer system to solve an unconstrained
2	interval globa	al optimization problem specified by a function f , wherein f is a scalar
3	function of a	vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$, the method comprising:
4	receiv	ing a representation of the function f at the computer system;
5	storin	g the representation in a memory within the computer system; and
6	perfo	ming an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed
7	bounds on a g	globally minimum value of the function $f(x)$ over a subbox X ;
8	where	ein performing the interval global optimization process involves,
9		applying term consistency to a set of relations associated
10		with the function f over the subbox X , and excluding any portion of
11		the subbox X that violates any of these relations,
12		applying box consistency to the set of relations associated
13		with the function f over the subbox X , and excluding any portion of
14		the subbox X that violates any of these relations, and
15		performing an interval Newton step on the subbox \mathbf{X} to
16		produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of
17		the interval Newton step is a point x within the subbox X , and
18		wherein performing the interval Newton step involves evaluating
19		the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using interval arithmetic.
1	2.	The method of claim 1, wherein applying term consistency
2	involves:	
3	symbolically manipulating an equation within the computer system to	
4	solve for a term $g(x_j)$, thereby producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$, wherein	
5	the term $g(x)$) can be analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-1}(y)$;

4

3.

components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ (i=1,...,n);

- substituting the subbox **X** into the modified equation to produce the
 equation $g(X'_j) = h(\mathbf{X});$ solving for $X'_j = g^{-l}(h(\mathbf{X}));$ and
 intersecting X'_j with the interval X_j to produce a new subbox $\mathbf{X}^+;$ wherein the new subbox \mathbf{X}^+ contains all solutions of the equation within
 the subbox **X**, and wherein the size of the new subbox \mathbf{X}^+ is less than or equal to
 the size of the subbox **X**.
- optimization process involves:
 keeping track of a smallest upper bound f_bar of the function f(x);
 removing from consideration any subbox X for which f(X) > f_bar; and
 wherein applying term consistency to the f_bar relation involves applying
 term consistency to the inequality f(x) ≤ f bar over the subbox X.

The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global

- 1 4. The method of claim 3, wherein applying box consistency to the 2 set of relations involves applying box consistency to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f_b ar$ 3 over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global optimization process involves:

 determining the gradient g(x) of the function f(x), wherein g(x) includes
- removing from consideration any subbox for which any element of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that the subbox does not include a stationary point of $f(\mathbf{x})$; and

8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
9	term consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ($i=1,,n$) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox
10	X.
1	6. The method of claim 5, wherein applying box consistency to the
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each component
3	$g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ $(i=1,,n)$ of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
1	7. The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global
2	optimization process involves:
3	determining diagonal elements $H_n(\mathbf{x})$ ($i=1,,n$) of the Hessian of the
4	function $f(\mathbf{x})$;
5	removing from consideration any subbox for which a diagonal element of
6	the Hessian is always negative, which indicates that the function f is not convex
7	and consequently does not contain a global minimum within the subbox;
8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
9	term consistency to each inequality $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
1	8. The method of claim 7, wherein applying box consistency to the
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each inequality
3	$H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
1	9. The method of claim 1,
2	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves,
3	computing the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient g evaluated

Inventors: Walster et al.

as a function of a point x over the subbox X,

5	computing an approximate inverse B of the center of	
6	J(x,X), and	
7	using the approximate inverse B to analytically determine	
8	the system $\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x})$, wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$,	
9	and wherein $g(x)$ includes components $g_i(x)$ ($i=1,,n$); and	
10	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying	
11	term consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable	
12	x_i ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox X .	
1	10. The method of claim 9, wherein applying box consistency to the	
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$	
3	$(i=1,,n)$ for each variable x_i $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox X .	
1	11. The method of claim 1, further comprising terminating attempts to	
2	further reduce the subbox X when:	
3	the width of X is less than a first threshold value; and	
4	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.	
1	12. The method of claim 11, wherein performing the interval Newton	
2	step involves:	
3	computing $J(x,X)$, wherein $J(x,X)$ is the Jacobian of the function f	
4	evaluated as a function of x over the subbox X ; and	
5	determining if $J(x,X)$ is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox Y	
6	that contains values of y that satisfy $M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x)$, where	
7	M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), $r(x) = -Bf(x)$, and B is an approximate inverse of the center of	
8	J(x,X).	

1	13. A computer-readable storage medium storing instructions that	
2	when executed by a computer cause the computer to perform a method for using a	
3	computer system to solve an unconstrained interval global optimization problem	
4	specified by a function f , wherein f is a scalar function of a vector	
5	$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$, the method comprising:	
6	receiving a representation of the function f at the computer system;	
7	storing the representation in a memory within the computer system; and	
8	performing an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed	
9	bounds on a globally minimum value of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ over a subbox \mathbf{X} ;	
10	wherein performing the interval global optimization process involves,	
11	applying term consistency to a set of relations associated	
12	with the function f over the subbox X , and excluding any portion of	
13	the subbox X that violates any of these relations,	
14	applying box consistency to the set of relations associated	
15	with the function f over the subbox X , and excluding any portion of	
16	the subbox \mathbf{X} that violates any of these relations, and	
17	performing an interval Newton step on the subbox X to	
18	produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of	
19	the interval Newton step is a point x within the subbox X, and	
20	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves evaluating	
21	the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using interval arithmetic.	
1	14. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein	
2	applying term consistency involves:	
3	symbolically manipulating an equation within the computer system to	
4	solve for a term $g(x_j)$, thereby producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$, wherein	
5	the term $g(x_j)$ can be analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-1}(y)$;	

7

15.

- substituting the subbox **X** into the modified equation to produce the
 equation $g(X'_j) = h(\mathbf{X});$ solving for $X'_j = g^{-1}(h(\mathbf{X}));$ and
 intersecting X'_j with the interval X_j to produce a new subbox $\mathbf{X}^+;$ wherein the new subbox \mathbf{X}^+ contains all solutions of the equation within
 the subbox **X**, and wherein the size of the new subbox \mathbf{X}^+ is less than or equal to
 the size of the subbox **X**.
- performing the interval global optimization process involves:
 keeping track of a smallest upper bound f_bar of the function f(x);
 removing from consideration any subbox X for which f(X) > f_bar; and
 wherein applying term consistency to the f_bar relation involves applying
 term consistency to the inequality f(x) ≤ f bar over the subbox X.

The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein

- 1 16. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 15, wherein 2 applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency 3 to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f$ bar over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
- 17. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein
 2 performing the interval global optimization process involves:
 3 determining the gradient $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$, wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes
 4 components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ (i=1,...,n);
 5 removing from consideration any subbox for which any element of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is
 6 bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that the subbox does not include a

stationary point of $f(\mathbf{x})$; and

8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying	
9	term consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ($i=1,,n$) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox	
10	X.	
1	18. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 17, wherein	
2	applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency	
3	to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ($i=1,,n$) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .	
1	19. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein	
2	performing the interval global optimization process involves:	
3	determining diagonal elements $H_u(\mathbf{x})$ ($i=1,,n$) of the Hessian of the	
4	function $f(\mathbf{x})$;	
5	removing from consideration any subbox for which a diagonal element of	
6	the Hessian is always negative, which indicates that the function f is not convex	
7	and consequently does not contain a global minimum within the subbox;	
8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying	
9	term consistency to each inequality $H_n(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox \mathbf{X} .	
1	20. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 19, wherein	
2	applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency	
3	to each inequality $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox \mathbf{X} .	
1	21. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13,	
2	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves,	
3	computing the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient g evaluated	
4	as a function of a point x over the subbox X ,	

5	computing an approximate inverse B of the center of
6	J(x,X), and
7	using the approximate inverse B to analytically determine
8	the system $Bg(x)$, wherein $g(x)$ is the gradient of the function $f(x)$,
9	and wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ ($i=1,,n$); and
10	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
11	term consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable
12	x_i ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox X .
1	22. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 21, wherein
2	applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency
3	to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable x_i $(i=1,,n)$ over the
4	subbox X.
1	23. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein the
2	method further comprises terminating attempts to further reduce the subbox \mathbf{X}
3	when:
4	the width of X is less than a first threshold value; and
5	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.
1	24. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein
2	performing the interval Newton step involves:
3	computing $J(x,X)$, wherein $J(x,X)$ is the Jacobian of the function f
4	evaluated as a function of x over the subbox X ; and
5	determining if $J(x,X)$ is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox Y

that contains values of y that satisfy M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x), where

2	J(x,X).
1	25. An apparatus that solves an unconstrained interval global
2	optimization problem specified by a function f, wherein f is a scalar function of a
3	vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$, the apparatus comprising:
4	a receiving mechanism that is configured to receive a representation of the
5	function f;
6	a memory for storing the representation; and
7	an interval global optimization mechanism that is configured to perform
8	an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed bounds on a
9	globally minimum value of the function $f(x)$ over a subbox X ;
10	a term consistency mechanism within the interval global optimization
11	mechanism that is configured to apply term consistency to a set of relations
12	associated with the function f over the subbox X , and to exclude any portion of the
13	subbox X that violates any of these relations;
14	a box consistency mechanism within the interval global optimization
15	mechanism that is configured to apply box consistency to the set of relations
16	associated with the function f over the subbox X , and to exclude any portion of the
17	subbox X that violates any of these relations; and
18	an interval Newton mechanism within the interval global optimization
19	mechanism that is configured to perform an interval Newton step on the subbox X
20	to produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of the interval
21	Newton step is a point x within the subbox X , and wherein performing the interval
22	Newton step involves evaluating the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using
73	interval arithmetic

M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), r(x) = -Bf(x), and B is an approximate inverse of the center of

1	26. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the term consistency	
2	mechanism is configured to:	
3	symbolically manipulate an equation to solve for a term $g(x_j)$, thereby	
4	producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$, wherein the term $g(x_j)$ can be	
5	analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-1}(y)$;	
6	substitute the subbox X into the modified equation to produce the equation	
7	$g(X'_{J}) = h(\mathbf{X});$	
8	solve for $X'_{J} = g^{-1}(h(\mathbf{X}))$; and to	
9	intersect X'_{j} with the interval X_{j} to produce a new subbox \mathbf{X}^{+} ;	
10	wherein the new subbox \mathbf{X}^{+} contains all solutions of the equation within	
11	the subbox X , and wherein the size of the new subbox X^+ is less than or equal to	
12	the size of the subbox X .	
1	27. The apparatus of claim 25,	
2	wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to,	
3	keep track of a smallest upper bound f_bar of the function	
4	$f(\mathbf{x})$, and to	
5	remove from consideration any subbox X for which	
6	$f(\mathbf{X}) > f_bar$; and	
7	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term	
8	consistency to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f_bar$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .	
1	28. The apparatus of claim 27, wherein the box consistency	
2	mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_bar$	
3	over the subbox X .	
1	29. The apparatus of claim 25,	

2	wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to,
3	determine the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$, wherein
4	$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ ($i=1,,n$), and to
5	remove from consideration any subbox for which any
6	element of $g(x)$ is bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that
7	the subbox does not include a stationary point of $f(\mathbf{x})$; and
8	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term
9	consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ($i=1,,n$) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .

- 1 30. The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the box consistency 2 mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each component 3 $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ (i=1,...,n) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
- 1 31. The apparatus of claim 25, 2 wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to, 3 determine diagonal elements $H_n(\mathbf{x})$ (i=1,...,n) of the 4 Hessian of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$, and to 5 remove from consideration any subbox for which a 6 diagonal element of the Hessian is always negative, which 7 indicates that the function f is not convex and consequently does 8 not contain a global minimum within the subbox; 9 wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term 10 consistency to each inequality $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ (i=1,...,n) over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
- 32. The apparatus of claim 31, wherein the box consistency
 mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each inequality
 H_u(x) ≥ 0 (i=1,...,n) over the subbox X.

mechanism is configured to:,

1	33. The apparatus of claim 25,
2	wherein the interval Newton mechanism is configured to,
3	compute the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient g evaluated as
4	a function of a point x over the subbox X ,
5	compute an approximate inverse B of the center of $J(x,X)$,
6	and to
7	use the approximate inverse B to analytically determine the
8	system $\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x})$, wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$, and
9	wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ ($i=1,,n$); and
10	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term
11	consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable
12	x_i ($i=1,,n$) over the subbox X .
1	34. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the box consistency
2	mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each component
3	$(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable x_i $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox \mathbf{X} .
1	35. The apparatus of claim 25, further comprising a termination
2	mechanism that is configured to terminate attempts to further reduce the subbox X
3	when:
4	the width of X is less than a first threshold value; and
5	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.
1	36. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the interval Newton

compute J(x,X), wherein J(x,X) is the Jacobian of the function f evaluated
as a function of x over the subbox X; and to
determine if J(x,X) is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox Ythat contains values of y that satisfy M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x), where

5 M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), r(x) = -Bf(x), and B is an approximate inverse of the center of

6 J(x,X).